Indiana Criminal Justice Institute Youth Division Safe Haven Grant Program Grant Review and Scoring Matrix



Reviewed By:			Attended Trainin	g					
Tracking #: 10-									
Applicant:									
Project Title:									
County:									
New		Continua	ation						
Previous Funding:	\$, .00	Requested Funding:	\$,	.00	Recommended:	\$,	.00

SUMMARY SHEET

Section	Description	Max. Pts. Allowable	Score
1.	Cover Page		
2.	Executive Summary	5 pts	·
3.	Needs Assessment	15 pts	
4.	Problem Statement, Goals and Objectives	20 pts	
5.	Sustainability/Future Funding Plans	10 pts	
6.	Implementation Plan	15 pts	
7.	Evaluation and Internal Assessment	15 pts	
8.	Matching Funds	Required 10 pts	
9.	Program Budget Worksheet	Required 10 pts	

Review and score the grant application in each of the areas for completeness, accuracy, clarity, and continuity.

Section 1. Cover Page					
_					
A. Did the applicant FULLY complete each section?					
	Applicant replicated the amount of state funding requested from the Grand Total Box of the Budget Summary.				
Application was received or postmarked by specified date deadline.					
	Application was received or postmarked by specified date deadline. Are letters of support attached? SRO programs ONLY				
	70	one of capport attached? one programe one?			
B.		Indicate the appropriate Purpose Area for this application (check only o	ne):		
Program					
	ea#				
	1	School Resource Officer			
	2	Evidence-Based Program			
Coo	tion C	Everytive Cumment			
Sec	illoit 2	. Executive Summary			
Α.	Doos	the Executive Summary exceed 2 pages?	0 – 1 pts		
Λ.	Dues	the Executive Summary exceed 2 pages:	0 – 1 pts		
Com	ments	<u> </u>			
	Does	it summarize the scope of the project, state problem(s) or need(s),			
B.		tives and outcomes to be gained, total cost of project and	0 – 4 pts		
	sumr	narize each major section of the proposal?			
Com	nments				
		Total (maximu	um of 5 pts)		
Coo	dian C	Needs Assessment			
Sec	tion s	. Needs Assessment			
	Door	the applicant clearly describe the problem to be addressed and			
A.		funding would alleviate the problem?	0 – 5 pts		
	11000	ariding would direviate the problem.	<u>I</u>		
Com	ments				
	Does	the applicant explain how the problem was identified and included	0 5		
B.		ant local facts, statistics, and/or other measures?	0 – 5 pts		
Comments:					
	1				
C.	Is the	e Needs Statement succinct and concise?	0 – 5 pts		
Comments:					

Section 4. Problem Description, Goals and Objectives							
Α.	Problem Statement						
L							
	Does the Problem Statement provide a concise description of the problem that the project will address?	0 – 4 pts					
Con	Comments:						
В.	Goal(s) — a desired state of affairs that are timeless. While a single Goal is required, limit your Goals to no more than two.						
	Does the applicant provide a clear and concise statement of the project direction which is a realistic, understandable, measurable, and related to the Needs Statement?	0 – 3 pts					
C.	Objectives — specific milestones aimed at achieving your Goal(s).						
	Are the listed objectives directly related to the stated Goal(s)?	0 – 3 pts					
	Did the applicant include dates when the objectives will be reached, be measured, and include valid indicator(s) of reaching the milestone?	0 – 3 pts					
	Are the Objectives measurable, attainable, and realistic?	0 – 3 pts					
D.	Performance Indicators — Data collected to measure each objective and show progres	SS.					
	Are the listed performance indicators directly related to the stated Goal(s) and sources of data identified?	0 – 4pts					
Con	nments:						
	Total (maximum	of 20 pts)					
0	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	1					
Sec	ction 5. Sustainability/Future Funding Plans						
A.	Does the current year funding plan include a description of match amounts and source(s)?	0 – 5 pts					
Comments:							
В.	Does the applicant provide an estimated funding plan for subsequent year(s), if applicable, including an estimated total length of time they anticipate state funding required for this project?	0 – 5 pts					

Comments:				
Total (maxin	num of 10 pts)			
Section 6. Implementation Plan				
A. Does the Implementation Plan outline the Objective, who is responsible for the timeline, how each will be accomplished including projected resources needed?	0 –10 pts			
Comments:				
C. Did the applicant articulate a start date for the project and their ability to do so?	0 – 5 pts			
On many states				
Comments:				
Total (maxin	num of 15 pts)			
Section 7. Evaluation and Internal Assessment				
A. Did the applicant document what outcome and output measures will be used to verify the objectives are met?	0 – 5 pts			
Comments:				
B. Does the applicant clearly state what data will be collected, how, by whom, and when?	0 – 5 pts			
Comments:				
C. Did the applicant indicate how the project will be internally assessed?	0 – 5 pts			
Comments:				
Comments.				
Γ ·				
Total (maxin	num of 15 pts)			
Section 8. Matching Funds				

0-5 pts

Did the applicant specify the match type AND it meets Safe Haven Guidelines for that specific type (if a Programmatic match is specified,

	Applicant must include a Project Description for the matching program).				
Comments:					
		_			
B.	Applicant's match is equal to or greater than requested funding amount.	0 – 5 pts			
Con	nments:				
	Total (maximu	ım of 10 nte)			
	Total (maximu	iii oi io pis <i>j</i>			
Sec	ction 9. Safe Haven Program Budget Worksheet				
A.	Did the applicant complete all sections of the Program Budget?	0 – 2 pts			
Con	nments:				
	Are the budget items directly related to the proposed project and				
B.	identified problems?	0 – 3 pts			
Comments:					
		<u> </u>			
C.	Was the budget correctly calculated?	0 – 2 pts			
0	amouto.				
Con	nments:				
	Do on the Duningt Dudget conflict with the Dungguero Dudget in account	0 0 mt-			
D.	Does the Project Budget conflict with the Program Budget in any way?	0 – 3 pts			
Con	Comments:				
5011	mono.				
	Total (maximu	ım of 10 nte\			
	I Otal (maximu	iiii oi io pis)			